



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/994,039	11/27/2001	Yong Sung Ham	049128-5043	7745
9629	7590 10/22/2004		EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			CHOW, DOON Y	
	SYLVANIA AVENUE N ON, DC 20004	W	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2675	

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/994,039	HAM, YONG SUNG	<u> </u>			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>			
	Dennis-Doon Chow	2675				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting E FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	s Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consi te the attached examiner's remarks.	dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b∫ ould be rejected is provided belo	)∏ will be entered a w or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:		,				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
			•			
		· ·				

Application/Control Number: 09/994,039

Art Unit: 2675

## REMARKS

- 1. Applicant argues that using a look-up table on source data in accordance

  Johnson in the device of Aoki is contradictory to the intended purpose of Aoki to

  modulate the source data so that the three least significant bits are applied in the first

  field of a frame and three most significant bits are applies in the second field of a frame

  for the purpose of generating a gradation signal for driving an image display. However,

  Applicant did not explain how or why the contradiction occurs. As indicated in the

  previously office action, the examiner use only Johnson's concept of using a look-up

  table for modulating data information in Aoki's display device, not the use of a previous

  field frame or correcting a field frame taught by Johnson
- 2. Applicant argues that Hirota is directed toward the use of a black data field in a frame for the purpose of preventing horizontal cross-talk. Thus, combining Hirota with Aoki would add a black data field to the first and second fields taught by Aoki. Applicant then states that such an additional black data frame contradicts the intended purpose of Aoki in generating a gradation signal for driving an image display. Applicant further states that there is no provision in the circuitry of Aoki for an additional third black data field while generating a gradation signal. The examiner disagrees with applicant's arguments because the test for obviousness is not whether the features of one reference may be bodily incorporated into the other to produce the claimed subject matter but simply what the combination of references makes obvious to one of ordinary

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/994,039

Art Unit: 2675

skill in the pertinent at. In re Mapelsden, 51 CCPA 1123, 329 F.2d 321, 141 SUPQ 30 (1964).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis-Doon Chow whose telephone number is 703-305-4398. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, Alternate Monday off.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Chow October 20, 2004

> DENNIS-DOON CHOW PRIMARY EXAMINER